Pharos University in Alexandria



Students Community Research Projects

Pharos University in Alexandria Faculty of Physical Therapy



Students Community Research Projects

Electromagnetic Field: A Physical Therapy Modality For Management Of Acute Low Back Pain

Prepared by

Name	ID
Ammar Gomaa Bendary	201900482
Alaa Ashraf Bader	201900031
Reem Mohamed Matter	201901173
Salma Khaled Elsharawy	201900198
Rewan Taha Ahmed Askalany	201900113
Under supervision of Dr. Muhammad Al Bagoury Lecturer of Physical Therapy	



Introduction

- The lumbar section of the spine consists of five vertebrae (L1–L5) and five intervertebral discs. It is a weight bearing structure which may cause various problems, resulting in pain. It provides maximum stability while maintain crucial mobility of the trunk about the hips and pelvis (1).
- Low Back Pain (LBP) is pain and discomfort localized below the costal margin and above the inferior gluteal folds with/without leg pain (2).
- **Acute** low back pain can last anywhere from a few days to a few weeks
- Chronic low back pain lasts longer than three months (3).





• According to WHO in 19 Jun 2023,

>An estimated 619 million people live with LBP

>It is the leading cause of disability worldwide

>LBP is a major public health issue

>LBP is often associated with loss of work productivity

➢Thus produces huge economic burden on individuals and on societies.

According to WHO in 19 Jun 2023 (4)

ltem	Percentage
Lifetime prevalence of low-back pain	84%
Chronic low-back pain	23%
Adolescents with low-back pain	11.8% to 33%
Disability due to low-back pain	11-12%

Common causes of LBP

Occupational activities	Degeneration of the vertebrae
Degeneration of the discs	Poor physical fitness
Weak back/abdominal muscles	Obesity and excess weight
Strenuous exercise or work	Psychological conditions
Bad postures	Muscle or ligament strain

Statement of the problem

LBP is a universal problem that affects most of the people of all

ages all over the world frequently. It extends from just discomfort

to even disability and has a variable impact on production of the

individuals and nations. So examining efficacy of methods or

modalities to discard its effects is urgent.

Purpose of the study

We performed the current study to examine the effects of electromagnetic field when applied as a physical therapy modality on pain control and alleviation and functional gain in people suffering from low back pain

Inclusion criteria :

Exclusion criteria :

✓ 34 patients

✓ Lumber tumor

✓ Having Acute LBP

✓ Vertebral fracture

✓ Aging 25-45 years

Methodology

• Subjects:

➤ 34 patients (21 females and 13 males).

≻Their ages between 25 and 45 years.

≻Having acute LBP (less than 12 weeks)

>Extracted from outpatient clinics of faculty of Physical therapy, PUA.

>A consent signed by each participating patient.

Subdivided randomly into 2 equal groups (17 patients each).

Group (A) control group:

✓ Received TENS, Ultrasound and exercises

Group (B) study group:

 \checkmark Received the same treatment as group (A) in

addition to EMF

• Methods:

Methods of assessment:Visual Analogue Scale (VAS)



✓ Oswestry Disability Index:

- Designed to give the therapist information about how back pain has affected patients' ability to manage in everyday life.
- \odot It describes 10 domains, and each domain is measured on a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is the least affection and 5 is the highest affection.

• **Domains are:**

- 1. Pain Intensity
- 2. Personal Care (washing, dressing, feeding, etc)
- 3. Lifting
- 4. Walking
- 5. Sitting
- 6. Standing
- 7. Sleeping
- 8. Employment / Homemaking
- 9. Traveling (driving, daily commute, public transportation)
- 10. Social Life

Omethods of treatment:

- ✓ Pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF):
- It is a passive, noninvasive, safe, and easy to use therapeutic intervention
- Used frequency is 50 Hz for 15 min for 12 sessions day after day (5).
- PEMF doesn't just reduce pain, it potentially works on the underlying cellular health resulting in pain relief. It can improve bone density, improve circulation and stimulate recovery (6).
- PEMF is an effective wellness technology that enhances performance and rehabilitation (6).





Electromagnetic Therapy

- ✓ Therapeutic Ultrasound:
- \circ Is a form of *mechanical* energy
- $\odot The frequencies used in the$ rapy are typically between 1.0 and 3.0 MHz
- **OUsed for management of inflammation, increase tissue proliferation and remodeling (7).**
- ✓ Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS):
- $\odot \textbf{Uses}$ low-voltage electrical currents to relieve pain.
- **Olt delivers the current to sensory nerves to block or change perception of pain (8).**



TENS





Therapeutic US



Results

• Demographic Data

Gender



B: 7 males & 10 females

Mean of age



Comparison of weight according to groups & gender

Mean of Weight per group

Weight to gender





Comparison of pre-treatment mean values of both groups

Pretreatment mean values



GA GB

Comparison of pre and post treatment mean values of group A (control)



Comparison of pre and post treatment mean values of group B (Study)



Comparison of post-treatment mean values of both groups



Comparison of post-treatment mean values of both groups (excluding patients receiving NSAIDs)



Discussion

The different results obtained from the previous studies, may be referred to the different parameters applied. Many studies used high intensity and frequency of the PEMF, while others applied low parameters of the PEMF (9) and (10). Nayback-Beebe et al said that acute musculoskeletal LBP is a particularly obvious public health problem in young and healthy military population. PEMF therapy demonstrated efficacy in small-scale studies examining muscle recovery and function in injured athletes, pain control, and treatment of musculoskeletal pain and dysfunction (11).

Discussion cont.

Lisi et al reported that it is feasible to conduct a clinical trial of a PEMF therapy device for non-specific LBP. This work shows that the device was safe and provides preliminary evidence of effectiveness in improving function in patients with non-specific LBP (12) and (13).

Discussion cont.

Elshiwi AM et al found that adding pulsed electromagnetic field to

Conventional physical therapy Protocol yields superior clinical

improvement in pain, functional disability, and lumbar ROM in

patients with non-specific low back pain than Conventional physical

therapy alone (14).

Discussion cont.

Thuile Ch and Walzl M said that PEMF therapy is an effective modality for conservative treatment of lumbar pain caused by lumbar disc prolapse, with significant reduction in pain severity, significant improvement in total modified Oswestry score domains (15). Marks and R.A said that the use of PEMF stimulation significantly enhanced fusion healing, both statistically and clinically, in patients with discogenic low back pain. An excellent or good clinical outcome was related to fusion success (16) and (17).

Conclusion

We conclude that PEMF therapy can relieve pain, improve

function and improve Health-Related Quality of Life in middle-

aged individuals with different low back pain conditions.

Recommendation

✓ Further studies about effectiveness of Electromagnetic field on acute LBP using

larger sample size.

✓ Further studies about effectiveness of Electromagnetic field on chronic LBP

✓ Further studies about effectiveness of Electromagnetic field on acute and chronic LBP in 5th and 6th decades people.



- (1) Adams MA, Burton AK, Dolan P, and Bogduk N. 2007. The Biomechanics of Back Pain. Churchill Livingstone.
- (2) Agur A.M.R., Dalley A.F. Grant's Atlas of Anatomy. 12th ed. Lipincott Williams & Wilkins; Pennsylvania, PA, USA: 2009. p. 841.
- (3) Ali F, Reddy V, Dublin AB. StatPearls . StatPearls Publishing; Treasure Island (FL): 2020. Anatomy, Back, Anterior Spinal Artery.
- (4) Anne M Gilroy, Brian R MacPherson, Lawrence M Ross and Michael Schuenke, Atlas of Anatomy, 2nd edition, Thieme, Chapter 2,2014.
- (5) Arneja AS, Kotowich A, Staley D, Summers R, Tappia PS. Electromagnetic fields in the treatment of chronic lower back pain in patients with degenerative disc disease. Future Sci OA. 2016;2(1):FSO105. Published 2016 Feb 11. doi:10.4155
- (6) Cohen SP, Argoff CE, Carragee EJ (December 2008). "Management of low back pain". BMJ. 337: a2718.
- (7) Watson T. Soft Tissue Healing. In Touch 2003;104: 2-9.
- (8) Hayden JA, et al. (2005). Systematic review: Strategies for using exercise therapy to improve outcomes in chronic low back pain. Annals of Internal Medicine, 142(9): 776–785.
- (9) . Krath A, Klüter T, Stukenberg M, et al. Electromagnetic transduction therapy in non-specific low back pain: a prospective randomised controlled trial. J Orthop. 2017;14(3):410---415.
- (10) 7. Andrade R, Duarte H, Pereira R, et al. Pulsed electromagnetic field therapy effectiveness in low back pain: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Porto Biomed J.2016;1(5):156---163.
- (11) Nayback-Beebe A, Yoder L, Goff B, Arzola S, Weidlich C. The effect of pulsed electromagnetic frequency therapy on healthrelated quality of life in military service members with chronic low back pain. Nurs Outlook. 2017;65(5S):S26-S33.

- 12) Lisi, A.J., Scheinowitz, M., Saporito, R. et al. A Pulsed Electromagnetic Field Therapy Device for Non-Specific Low Back Pain: A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. Pain Ther 8, 133–140 (2019).
- 13) Oke KI, Umebese PF. Evaluation of the efficacy of pulsed electromagnetic therapy in the treatment of back pain: a randomized controlled trial in a tertiary hospital in Nigeria. West Indian Med J. 2013;62:205–9.
- 14) Elshiwi AM, Hamada HA, Mosaad D, Ragab IMA, Koura GM, Alrawaili SM. Effect of pulsed electromagnetic field on nonspecific low back pain patients: a randomized controlled trial. Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy. Published online May 2019:244-249.
- 15) Thuile Ch, Walzl M (2002) Evaluation of electromagnetic fields in the treatment of pain in patients with lumbar pain or the whiplash syndrome. NeuroRehabilitation 17, 63–7.
- 16) Marks, R.A. Spine fusion for discogenic low back pain: Outcomes in patients treated with or without pulsed electromagnetic field stimulation. Adv Therapy 17, 57–67 (2000).
- 17) Trock D, Bollet A, Duer R, Fielding L, Miner W, Markell R (1993): A double-blind trial of the clinical effects of pulsed electromagnetic fields in osteoarthrosis J. Rheumatol; 20(3): 456-460.

